G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-01

(Municipal)

File No. 78 of 1900-01

Serial Nos. 1-38

Papers Filed.

Month July 1902

SUBJECT.

Municipal Budget Estimates

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

condemned

L7 12—01

7/4972

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

GENERAL DEPT.—(L. B. BRANCH).

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

In re the grant of a horse allowance of Rs 7 a month to the Sub Overseer in charge of the Devaraj Channel, Mysore City.

LAST REFERENCE

Letter No. 2829 dated 17th May, 1902 from the Chief Engineer in Mysore

At the instance of the Mysore City Municipal Board the President recommended the grant of an allowance of Rs 7 p.m. to the Sub Overseer in charge of the Devaraya Channel Mysore City.

Letter No 7360 dt 27.3.02 (S46)

2 The Chief Engineer's opinion on the subject was called for in this office Endt No 17034 dt 21.6.02. & this dt 5-12-1902 office's note was as follows

(S47)

Letter

MGCPB—250—18.3.02

that channel Sub Com-
sion are not entitled to
travelling allowances under
the Rules, as their charges
are generally limited to a
few miles of Channel coast
to and returning to test
a cable for inspection purposes
& it is sometimes found
necessary to give some of these
men four months of Channel,
which they would not com-
mute at £ each on good,
a real ~~allowance~~ hardship
when entitled some idea,
as my last Examination
a few men attained some idea,
only ~~one~~ obtained more
in which the ~~[illegible]~~
[illegible]

has charge of only 18½ miles of Channel, and that no modification of the rules seems called for.

3 It is submitted that in the Executive Engr's letter to the Chief Engr., above referred to, he states that the President's proposal to, and the allowance in question involves not only an addition to the ~~rules~~ rates but also a departure from the rules in force regulating the grant of Lascars to Channel Conservancy establishments. That with the Sub overseer in charge of the (Dharoja) Channel [illegible] it was not sanctioned as he was in charge of only 18½ miles length of Channel and that the allowance in question, if sanctioned [illegible] transfer of the Channel to the Dock, ~~Trust~~ Board, [illegible] the appointment [illegible]

Submitted for orders as

as to whether the President may be informed that Govt are not prepared to sanction the allowance proposed. & a copy of the Aug 27/1902 Chief Engineer's letter sent to him.

28/7/1902

The Secy may inform Govt and the Chief Engineer that they do not see any objection to it.

18/7/1902

Drafted 18/7 Aug 18/7

Memo

Letter no. 217 dated the 20th Septr. 1901 from the President of the Mysore City Municipal Commission.

Under date the 15th June 1901, the Dy. Comr. of the Mysore District submitted for publication in the Gazette a declaration and statement for acquiring certain houses and lands for opening out a road from Benkinawab street to the lane behind Nakshatra-bandy Masjid in Mandy Mohalla of the Mysore City, and proposed to meet the cost of acquisition from Municipal funds. (Vide Sl. no. 4 5)

2. The declaration & statement were accordingly published under notice no. 1709/83.

dated the 22nd June 1901
But the President of the
City Improvement Board
however requested to specify
the particular head in the
current year's Budget from
which he proposed to appro-
priate funds for the purpose.
(Please see serial nos: 45 & 49 & 48)

3. In the meantime, the
President submitted for
sanction a statement showing
the amount of compensation viz Rs 673/-
proposed to be paid towards
the acquisition of the said
properties. (Vide at 46/A)

4 Thereupon it was
ascertained that the Board
had agreed to the expenditure, at 61 & 65
and the President was
requested to point out
the source from which the
amount could be found
to

the parties concerned. (Please
see Progs: no. 4087 of the
4783-96
4th Septr. Serial no. 66)

5. The present
is a reply to the Progs: of
the 4th September (above referred to) The Presd.
states that provision had
been made for the charge in
the current year's City Munici-
cipal Budget, which was
disallowed by Govt., that
the work was carried out
in anticipation of Govt.
sanction as a measure of
urgent sanitary improve-
ment required to prevent the
spread of Plague, that after
the completion of the work, the
Diwan and the Senior Councillor
~~have~~ inspected the locality,
that Plague first broke
out in that locality and that
as advised by the then Health
officer

Officer early steps were
taken to open out the same
under these circumstances
he solicits sanction to the
~~re~~ retention of the provision
therefor in the current year's
Budget.

6. It is submitted that,
on the President's letter No. 189
of the 5th September 1907 request
ing the retention in the
current year's City Rd Budget
of all the items disallowed
by Govt. additional information
has been called for. The file
was submitted to the 2d Councillor
on the 1st Instt with list of
incomplete works of the City.

7 Submitted for orders as
to whether the President's reply
to this office enquiry may be
awaited or the file sent for
from the 2d Cr's quarters.

(Sd) J. 15/10/07

(Sd 19 in ℓ 317 of 1901)

No 5068 of 21.9.07
A 12-07

wait for the reply
or direct the Precedents
otherwise to that reference.

H B
15/10

A S Ch
Please call for file
from the 2nd Councillor

8. The President's reply had since been received (vide his letter no. 306 dated the 27th October 1901). The grounds on which he solicits sanction to the retention in the Budget of the provisions disallowed by Govt are:-

(a) That they are absolutely necessary to put in hand urgent works

(b) That some of the items were already insufficiently provided for for want of funds; and

(c) that an unexpended saving of Rs 17,277 is expected out of the last year's Budget allotments which amount is enough to cover the cost

water works.

9. He accordingly stated that he will submit a Supplemental Budget providing for the maintenance charges of the water works, after ascertaining from the Comptroller the actual balance at the credit of the Municipality on the 1st July 1907,

10. The points requiring orders are:-

(1) Retention in the Budget of provisions disallowed by Govt.

(2) Sanction to meet the cost of acquisition, referred to in para 1 above, from the provision proposed by him under "Compensation"

Submitted for orders as to whether regard being had

⊗ The Comptroller estimated it at Rs 55600/- (Please see el 21 in d. 7.12.07)

to the heavy balance of Rs 55600/- at the credit of the Board, sanction may be accorded to both the proposals.

If the disallowed items amounting to 110000 as be sanctioned, there will still be a working balance of Rs 42679 at the end of the current year as per particulars given below:—

(1) Opening balance Rs 55600		
(2) Estimated receipts for 1901-1902	143850	
Total Rs		199450
(3) Do Expenditure for — (a) Already sanctioned 145771		156771
(b) now to be sanctioned 11000		
(4) Estimated closing balance	Rs 42679	

Submitted to 3rd Councillor for orders Dy 18/11/01

Dy 1901

Rect & two drafts put up Bln Dy 5/12 20/11/01 A S ch

5/12

Note

Letter no. 166 dated the 22nd August 1901 from the President of the Mysore City Municipal Commission.

In the above letter, the President solicits sanction to debit to either Jail or Provincial funds the charges amounting to Rs 32/- a month incurred in guarding 20 prisoners employed for forming roads in the new extensions and in the Weavers' lines of the Mysore City.

The application is inadmissible under Rule 33 at page 154 of the Jail Manual which runs as follows:-

" When prisoners are employed outside the jail one peon will guard every 5

prisoners, the pay of these peons to be charged to the officer or department employing the convict labour".

Please see also Govt. Progs: no 4575-77 dated 3rd 97. the 25th September 1900 in which the employment of prison labour for planting avenue trees in the area comprised within the Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Circle, was sanctioned, subject to the condition that no additional cost was thereby incurred on account of extra guarding establishment.

original order put up

Submitted for orders with a draft. sgj 30/9/01

The order cited has no bearing on the subject. Ask the President to report how the expenditure connected with the extensions is being met & why the present charges should not be debited [illegible]

662

MATTER FOR COUNCIL MEETING.

SUBJECT.

Budget Estimates of the Mysore City Municipality for 1901-1902.

55.7
1,4-5.77

Passed

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,

For Secretary.

27/7/1901

Name	Date of receipt.	Date when passed on
V P Madhava Row, Esq, C I E	Rd 27/7/01	27/7/01
C Srinivasiengar, Esq ..	Rec'd 29 7 1901	Seen 29/7/01
Rao Bahadur C. Madiah, Esq	Seen	29/7/01
The Secretary		29/7/01

MGCPB—22-3-01—200

From the Dewan's reply dated

Memo containing replies to the Dewan's queries on the Mysore City Municipal Budget for 1901-1902.

Dewan's query

what is the average births and deaths during the last 5 or 10 years and how does the registration bear on each birth & death.

(1) The average of births and deaths in the Mysore City during the last 5 years was 4398, the average cost of registration being Rs 213 or Rs 0-0-9.3 per death or less. (Please see statement appended).

what is the average of supervision over actual expenditure on Civil works.

2. As per particulars given in the appended statement, the average cost of supervision on the actual expenditure on works was 9.04 per cent.

Provision for Education. I suppose it is the only primary education that

(3) The provision made in the Budget is for primary education. From the follow

municipality undertakes. How does the cost compare ~~with the cost~~ ~~Compare~~ with the State expenditure and in the other municipalities, Bangalore ~~and~~ City and Station.

State	89,71	7,13,000	3·7
Bangalore	2,15,504	12,3	5·7
City	1,43,850	9000	6·2 X
C & M Station	349440	9832	2·8

education is 6·2 per cent of the entire revenue & is more than that in the Bangalore City & Station municipality

(4) what are the details for fairs and festivals

(4) No details have been furnished for this ~~provision~~ ~~Expenditure~~, which is ~~not~~ utilised on account of decorations on the occasion of the reception of distinguished visitors putting up pandals during the Dusara &c.

(5) The expenditure exceeds the ~~Income~~ income.

(5) Yes. But the ~~The~~ excess of expenditure over the receipts, which is Rs 1921, is proposed to be met from the available balance.

Submitted,
BB
9/8/1901

To the the Dewan
2/8/1901

Dr 9/8/01

	Vital Statistics			Cost of Registration	Cost of Registration for each death		Public Works			Remarks
	Deaths	Total			Rs	As	Ps	Cost of Works	Cost of Establishment	Cost per cent of expenditure on works	
5-96	1096	1536	2632	264	"	1	3	29091	2892	9·9	
6-97	2059	1494	3553	264	"	1	3	42354	3041	7·18	
97-98	2493	1898	4391	277	"	1	"	38887	3186	8·18	
98-99	1624	5147	6771	⊕ 61	"	"	1·7	35442	3222	9·09	⊕ Charge for 3 months,
99-00	2045	2601	4646	252	"	"	10·4	26674	3250	12·2	
Total	9317	12676	21993	1063	"	"	92	172446	15591	9·04	
Average	1863	2535	4398	213	"	"	9·3	34489	3118	9·04	

COUNCIL STATEMENT

of the

~~Municipal~~ Budget Estimate

of the ..Wagga Wagga City Municipality
for 1901-1902
with the usual office draft.

Aug 3/1901

Please attend a note
answering the Dewan's
queries. H.M.
29/7/1901

Memo further
Aug 2 & 01

113

What is the average
of births & deaths
in the last 3 or
10 years &
the last year's return
upon each birth &
death.

Do of expenditure
over the several sub-
urban water works -
Provision for
ad[illegible] - Is subscription
is there any provision
Education - the amount
- expended under last
How does the cost
with the State
& with other towns
such as? Maryborough
Dubbo

What charge the
Chemist? & for
Testing
Let

MGCPB—15-3-01—100

excess of
income -

What are the
for these services.

The Engineer for 7.11.01

Estimated Receipts and Expenditure of the

Items	RECEIPTS	Budget Estimates for 1900-01	Budget Estimates for 1901-1902		
			As proposed	As sanctioned	
I	Octroi ..	63800	65280	64780 (1)	(1) Decrease of Rs 500 is due to a reduction made in the estimate under jaggery
II	Tax on houses	12,000	15000	15,000	
III	Licenses —				
	(a) Liquor shops	7000	7000	7000	
	(b) Other licenses . .	2000	2000	2000	
IV	Carriage tax . .	500	400	500 (2)	(2) Increase of Rs 100 is due to the estimate under this head having been passed
V	Tax on animals	1200	1200	1200	
VI	Tolls and ferries . . .	20000	20000	20000	
VII	Mohatarfa—				
	(a) Shop tax	5000	5000	5000	
	(b) Loom tax ...	50	50	50	
	(c) Oil mill tax	600	600	600	
	(d) Cart tax	750	800	800	
VIII	Rents	6270	7100	7100	
IX	Fines	250	250	250	
X	Miscellaneous	16250	19420	19420	
~~XI~~	~~Grant-in-aid ... ..~~				
XI	Payment for the services rendered to individuals	200	150	150	
	Total Annual Income ..	135870	144,250	143850 (3)	(3) Net decrease of Rs 1,400 is the result of a gross decrease of Rs 500 in item (1) and of a gross increase of Rs 100 in item (2)
	Opening Balance	56698	11,335	11,335	
	Grand Total ...	192,568	155,585	155,185 (3)	

Municipality for 1901 —1902—

EXPENDITURE	Budget Estimates for 1900-01	Budget Estimates for 1901-1902		Remarks
		As proposed	As sanctioned	
ıd office	9887	9816	9816	
lection	12727	11280	11280	
ıservancy—				
a) Establishment	24039	24802	24802	
(b) Other charges	8394	8394	8394	
egistration of births and deaths ...	1008	4806	(1) 9012	(1) Increase of Rs 4206 is due to the full provision made a/c of sala Registrars &c instead of a moiety provided by the President
ghting	8088	8088	8088	
ıblic works—				
(a) Supervision	3252	3252	3252	
(b) to (o) Works	55648	29100	(2) 18100 ~~24100~~	(2) Decrease of Rs 11000 is due to reduction made in the provision for original works, owing to insufficiency of funds
ıaritable grants	7425	7415	7415	
ducation	8696	9000	9000	
iscellaneous				
Repayment of loans	10000	10000	10000	
Refund of excess collection	100	100	100	
Cattle pounds	788	788	788	
Rewards to dog and snake killers	300	300	300	
Pension charges	375	375	375	
Fairs & festivals	2200	2200	2200	
Other items	11530	11542	~~11542~~ (3) 22847	(3) Increase of Rs 11305 is due to provision made a/c of the cost Vana Vilas water works in 1899 1900.
Total Expenditure ...	164457	141260	(4) 145771	(4) Increase of Rs 4511 is the result of increase of Rs 15411 under items (1) & (3) and decrease of Rs 11000 under item (2)
Closing Balance ...	28111	14325	(5) 9414	(5) Decrease of Rs 4961 is the result of decrease of Rs 400 under receipts and increase of Rs 4561 under ex
Grand Total ...	192568	155585	155185	

EXTRACT FROM THE JOURNAL OF THE 248th MEETING OF THE COUNCIL.

DATED....6th August..... 1901.

RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS.—

That the Budget Estimates of the Mysore City Municipality for the year 1901-1902 be passed, providing for an income (with opening balance) of Rs 1,55,185 and an expenditure of Rs 1,45,771

Initd/- P N K
Dewan & President

Copy to the Municipal Deptt

For Secretary

Verified - The draft may issue

This has not been forwarded to the Comptroller for approval

Received
Feb 17 1921

Mysore Finance

Budget

Submitted to Dewan

for perusal & to

place before

Council

1883

Returned

Considered

20.VII.01

Considered & Noted

28-7-01

To

The Presdt of the Mysore City Munl Commrs

No. 2.57

Of

His letter No. 629/72 dd 2nd March 1907

Expressing sanction to the purchase of 40 carts and 40 pairs of bullocks for the removal of rubbish in the Mysore city &c.

With reference to the above I have the honour to point out that -- ~~from the enclosures~~ in the ~~Board's Proc: dd 22nd Feby~~ the Board resolved ~~& Govt have sanctioned both the above~~ 45 Carts & 45 pairs of bullocks at a cost not to exceed Rs 1,000 ~~this Board~~, whereas the Presdt recommends the purchase of 40 of each, ~~discrepancy~~. This requires explanation.

"By Order"

Secretary

Dd to 2nd Commr this day

Not see much use in asking this inquiry unless proposal is favourably entertained. If favourably ~~red~~ the present proposal ~~be~~ sanctioned & the discrepancy pointed out.

Dss.

3/4/07 2/4/07.

Govt as follows: —

"Govt are inclined to think that hiring ~~of~~ bullocks ~~instead~~ every way preferable to owning & maintaining them - Moreover, without the present necessity for keeping up the Board's finances, the initial outlay of such a large number as Rs 1,000 is a serious matter which deserves most serious consideration - It is hoped that the Board would be able to devise some arrangement ~~to~~ the above.

aimed at ~~the~~ caused the grand
~~by~~ ~~the~~ without hardening
the Budget would do
he any ~~an~~ minimal ex-
- penditure ~~without~~
further
increasing ~~the~~ ~~heavy~~
~~burden~~ Nothing great-
~~ly~~ reduce ~~which~~ would also
~~help~~ by depreciation of ~~the~~
~~the~~ -

4/4/07

~~AD~~ 6/4/01

~~G~~ ~~KD~~ A S Chr
Org 6/4/01
Drafted 6/4

131 ℓ
PJ 484

9 4/6/01 to Do

Returned to Mr Brown
26-9-01.

Note

Serial no 4

Letter no 105 dated the 24th Septr 1901 from the President of the Bangalore City municipality in regard to the collection of slaughter house fee in the City.

In his letter no 160 dated the 21st August 1901. the President of the Mysore City municipality solicited sanction to the employment of a Daroga on Rs 10/- a month for collecting fees at the slaughter house in Sunday Inchalla of the City (illegible)

2 The 3d Councillor was pleased to sanction the Presidents proposal as a tentative measure and to direct the office to ascertain the arrangement that exists in the Bangalore City

(3) Enquiry was accordingly made

made in Docket No. 2712-01 of the 21st instt. (vide ser no 20).

4 The Bangalore City Municipal President states, in reply, that "the right of collecting slaughter house fees in the City is let out on annual contract on tenders invited from the public and accepted by the Board"

+ cir 105 of 24 9 (at no 17)

5 It is submitted that in the order conveying sanction to the appointment of a Daroga, the Mysore City President has been requested "to report whether it would not be a better arrangement to farm out the slaughter house on contract" (vide ser 21). The report has not yet been received

+ cir 5270-71/2712 01 of 21-9 01 (at no 5)

6 Submitted for orders as to whether the submission of the report may be awaited at this end.

Submitted to III Councillor 7/10/11

Submitted to 3rd Councillor for information

7/10

7/10

1/10/1901.

8. The President of the Dupone City Municipality now reports that the right of collecting slaughter house fees has always been farmed out and that the current year's realization amounts to Rs 432 or Rs 36/- a month. He further adds that as the "octroi income" was falling off owing to the work having been entrusted to a few, it was proposed to appoint a Daroga to ensure better supervision, and that accordingly the sanction of Govt to the proposal was obtained in the order of the 21st September 1907 (Please see Ks no 96 and the President's letter no 678/94 dt instant)

+ letter no 678/94 dt 4.6.02 of Rs no 6 (768?)

Submitted for orders a

to whether the President
may be asked to state
clearly whether by the "octroi
income" he meant slaughter
house fees and if so
what necessity there was
for ~~a Octroi~~ seeing that
the right of collecting the
said fee is being farmed
out every year. Draft
docket is submitted for
approval or other orders.
DNJ 26/4/02 Draft / noted.

JS
10/5

vide docket no 10102 A
L712-01
13th May 1902 (serial no 29)

9. The President's present
reference (Letter no 39 dated
the 15th September 1902) is
a reply to the above enquiry
He states that by the words
Octroi income" it is
meant the octroi duty
b.i.o

a supervising person and how the collections fell under his supervision

+ 42 no 8

levied on each head of
sheep imported into the
town for consumption,
that in addition to this
levy, persons slaughtering
sheep have to pay a
slaughter-house fee at
2 pies per head and that
the right of collecting this
latter fee is being farmed
out every year, the
~~Duties~~ duties of the Daroga
being to levy the former
fee on sheep imported into
the town for consumption. The
President further adds that
the employment of a
separate Daroga for this
purpose has proved re-
munerative.

5th Submitted for orders as
to whether the whole corres-
may be simply records -
connected file of papers p-

received from the Rly Commissioner is for submission to the Govn.

Orig 3/11/02

11. The President is requested to report why the right of collecting fees on sheep imported into the Town for consumption should not be framed out to submit a Statement Showing the income from this source for 5 years ending 31st Octr 1902.

JB 6/11/1902

Issue Summons

7/11

1 S/n Orig 7/11/02

12 Please see docket no 8314 dated the 12th Novr 02 7/12-01 making the above enquiry. (Serial 9)

13 The President submits a statement showing the income under this item of Receipt for 5 years from 1897-98. From this statement it will be seen that the income rose from Rs 6301 in 1897-98 to 6911 in 1901-02. This being an item of revenue

Letter no 1258 17.1.03 Flag A

under the head "Detroit", the collection not be entrusted to private agency on Contract, as the President states, under para 31 of G.P. no 3362 and 161 of 27th Sept 1879 (Copy subd).

It submitted for orders as to whether the appointment of the Darogas as to be made annually sanctioned tentatively in G.P. no 5070-71 of 21st Sept or may be made 27.12.01 permanent as recommended by the President in letter no 760 of 21st Aug '01.

Org 231-103

Submitted to Secretary

I think we should not have overlooked the proviso against turning the appointment may if thought made permanent. A draft submitted.

The reasons through the 2nd Councillor. I do agree - I do not think that all the correspondence was really necessary. I do not like the draft which the Secy says "is submitted". The draft however need not be submitted to me and the Director General - Correspondence to be made

Approved 23-1-04

Why was this sent in without
draft. Let me have one
at once
16/2
Submitted to the Diwan
~~to~~ with draft
17/2

If the Board
have approved 18-II-03
of this recommn

Submitting

The Board have approved
of the proposal to permanently employ
a man on 10/- to look after the said collection
(vide serial no 1 of $\frac{1}{a}$). The draft may
therefore be issued.
Dr. 21/2/03

Yes 23/2/03

Filed 6 & 2nd Comm. for information

Office note

Secretary.

This means that the sanctioned grant was unauthorizedly exceeded to the very large extent of Rs. 38 thousand odd, of which 23 thousand odd has been disbursed and nearly 15 thousand represents claims outstanding. I should like to have this matter personally explained to me by the Vice President. Please ask him to see me with complete references at 4 P.M. tomorrow.

"Initd" C.S.

7/10/02.

Mr. Sreenivasa Rao saw me on the subject on the 6th. I asked him to have ready for me certain papers to be gone through by me in his office. I have since visited his office twice and carefully gone into the question.

"Initd" C.S.

17/10/02.

Please see the note attached. A grant of Rs. 23,000 and a loan of Rs. 17,500 are involved. And this is a matter for Council. Please submit this to the Dewan at once. The complexity of the question and the need for a thorough examination on the spot, have led to the matter being adjourned by me for a couple of days or so.

"Initd" C.S.

Note.

I have had considerable difficulty in understanding this question. I have discussed the matter personally more than once with the Vice President and also looked into the papers bearing upon it and forthcoming in his office. Our file did not seem to be complete and I have therefore obtained copies of certain papers from the Vice President. After a careful examination, I find that (i) Budget sanctions have not been strictly adhered to, (ii) heavy additional expenditure has been incurred without sanction under a misconception, (iii) a supplemental grant of so large a sum as Rs. 20,00[illegible] has to be sanctioned as an inevitable necessity and (iv) a large loan of Rs. 1[illegible], 9[illegible] as increase to be sanctioned for work already carried out, in addition to loans of Rs. 1,04,772, Rs. [illegible],000 and Rs. [illegible],000 sanctioned respectively in the Proc. of Govt. Nos. 12970-72/I.F. 204-40, [illegible]/F. 204-[illegible] and 3069-82/I.F. Dec dated 11th. April 1940. As regards the cost of the first mentioned item of Rs. 20,[illegible]3, there was Govt. sanction while as regards the balance there was no authority. The Exec. Engr.

Please see serial No 56 ante 12-01 & office note

Please see decision of Govt. Officers from the Vice-President's note.

item of expenditure seems to have been incurred by the late Vice President under the erroneous impression that it could be met frc the loans already sanctioned. There has in both cases been an amount of laxity which seems inexcusable. Instead of a credit balance as estimated in the Pre,et for the current year, there is a debit balance. The Expenditure having however been incurred long ago and on useful works, and a portion of it having already been paid while the payment of the balance can no lon,er be postponed without the Board runnin, the risk of hein, put into Court, I be, to su,,est that both the items be sanctioned; that the excess of the first item over the actual available balance be treated as a loan and that the second item be added to the loans referred to above. The financial condition of the Board is far from satisfactory. The sanctions applied for cannot however be refused. No re-appropriation fro the grants sanctioned for the current year seems possible. I would impress upon the President and Vice President the necessity of (1) carefully scrutinizing the financial condition of the Board, (2) strictly limiting

limiting expenditure to Budget) sanctions and (3) refraining from incurring any expenditure not authorized by Govt. and not capable of being met from the funds available. This case involves a considerable sum of money and discloses most deplorable neglect which Govt. find themselves compelled to condone.

There is no meaning in now passing a supplemental budget for 1901-02. Let us ask the Controller to pass the expenditure in his accounts. The figures in the Budget sanctioned for 1902-03 have all been vitiated by this, but there is no help for it. The responsibility rests entirely upon the ~~Provincial~~ Executive and it is ~~hoped~~ hoped that better care will be bestowed upon the preparation of budget estimates in future. Government were originally misled by the figures furnished or they would have tried to so revise the Budget for 1902-03 as to make both ends meet.

It is a question whether the saving of money in excess of the Budget allotment could not be prevented. Only if Government's Controller's ~~hands~~ hands may be tied. Could not letters of

n justice to the present
ce President Mr. V. Sreenivasa
o, I must exculpate him from
ch of the blame.

of credit be issued by the President periodically as in the D.P.W and the Treasury debarred from permitting, such credit to be exceeded?.

24/10/02

This means that the sanctioned grant was unauthorized exceeded by the very large extent of Rs 38 thousand and of which 23 Th odd has been disbursed and nearly 15 Th represents claims outstanding - I shall like to know this matter personally explained to me by the Vice President Please send him a complete report on the whole matter

Send a D.O. letter.
7/10

Attended to
7/10/02

Mr Lawrence has seen me on the subject and I asked him to have ready for our discussion papers before me in his office - I have since visited his office twice & carefully gone into the question & I am

Please see the note attached - A grant of Rs 23,863 and a loan of Rs 14,998, are involved - And this is a matter for Council - Please submit this to the Dewan at once - The complexity of the question & the need for a thorough examination ~~and the Law~~ have led to the matter being delayed by me for a couple of weeks or so.

Submitted to the Dewan 29/10/02

6887
202 x i o -

29/10

Forwarded with 1st Councillor his opinion regarding the additional sums when sanctioned

29 x 02

I suppose there is no help for it & we must sanction the additional amounts

31/10/02

31/10

Rc 31/10/02

7047
Rs 5. +1.0

Resubd to Dewan -

4/11/1902

8317

How the Comptroller may if this money cannot be found to be paid to the Municipality and under what head in the Budget?

6.XI.02

7/11

A-S of Org 7/11 Dft. 8/11 ~~Draft embodied~~ with the addition of the words "~~from~~ State funds" ~~subject to~~ ~~Dept approval~~

Issue Engg 12/11

The Comptroller having been addressed in the matter of this office docket no 8399 dated the 15th Nov. 1902 [7 12-01] states in reply, that there is no provision in the Civil Budget for any such loans or grants out of which the items of expenditure under reference can be met, but that the sum of Rs 14,998, which has to be adjusted during the current year can conveniently be met out of the amount that remains at the credit of the Municipality at the close of the year. This balance he estimates at Rs 30,215 as detailed hereunder.

1) Balance at the end of June 02 = 29,000

2) Receipts as per Budget for the current year — = 144,355

Total = 173,355

3) Expenditure Dr — = 143,140

4) Closing balance — = 30,215

From further enquiry (informal) in the Comptroller's office, it has been ascertained that this sum of Rs 30,215 will be considerably reduced by about Rs 20000/- as the Board's contribution towards repayment of existing loans was lost sight of when arriving at that figure. The June Account (final) is under compilation and is stated to be completed shortly. May the reference be therefore kept by till the end of this week? (Please see the Head Accountant's reply to my requisition).

17/12/02

The Assistant Secty suggested that this might be kept by till the end of this week.

17/12

The actual balance in favour of the Municipality on 1st July 1902 has since been ascertained to be Rs 26,909.

balance = 26,989
d = 144,355
1,71,344
re = 1,43,140
balance = 28204
t 14,998
relance = 13206

If the expenditure of Rs 14,998 is in 1901-'02 and remaining yet unpai is met from this balance of Rs 26,989 suggested by the Comptroller, the workin balance at the end of the current year will be Rs 13,206 against the minimu of Rs 20000/- prescribed by Govt.

Submitted for orders as to whether formal sanction may be conveyed to the expenditure of Rs ~~23017~~ 23863 incurred and paid for during 1901-'02 being debited to the municipal accounts of that year and to the other expenditure of Rs 14,998 so incurred but yet remaining unpaid being met from the closing balance at the end of the current official year. The former sum, it is submitted, ~~that the said amount of Rs 23017 will have to be revised to Rs 23017 + 1638 = Rs 24,655 according to the details given in Memo in this linked file C.T. 70-99. This additional sum of Rs 1638~~ has been included in the final accounts of the Comptroller.

1

2

Sd 20/1/03

Submitted through the 2d/c

The sum of Rs ~~23017~~ Excess to above and 23863

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Record of Cases Coming before the Council of H. H. the Maharaja.

Subject—*(Clause of Schedule.)*

Adjustment of the items of expenditure to the extent of Rs 4o498-8-6 incurred and partly paid for in the Mysore City Municipality during the year 19oϕ-o2.

Secretary's Note.

These papers relate to the two items of expenditure incurred and partly paid for in the Mysore City Municipality during the year 19oϕ-o2.

They are:-

(I) Expenditure to the extent of Rs ~~25500-8-6~~ 16103-- incurred mostly on works, during the year 19oϕ-o2 paid for ~~in that year~~ and included in the Comptroller's final accounts of that year.

(II) Works of the value of Rs 14998 executed during 19oϕ-o2 but not yet paid for, and therefore not included in the accounts of that year.

2. The Councillors have agreed to sanction both the items of charges.

3. Item II, which requires a grant of Rs 14998 for being disbursed to Contractors during 19o2-o3, has been duly provided for in the current year's Supplemental Budget of the City Municipality which has been issued on the 19th May 19o3.

Item I requires an order sanctioning formally the debit ~~of~~ Rs 25oo -8-6 Rs 16103-- as reported by the Comptroller & not as stated by the Press. Draft is submitted for approval.

21/5

s reported in Comptro
ers No. 153 9825-6-03.

ide Flag Q & note ma
inked file 70-99.

ide Flag H.

In obedience to the Dewan's direction on the Office draft of 5th June 1903, the Comptroller was requested to state whether the sum of Rs 25,500-0-6 reported by the President as having been incurred and paid for during the year 1901-02 was included in that year's final accounts and if so under what head and authority and if in the absence of Budget provision or definite sanction therefor.

In reply, the Comptroller states that the actual expenditure for 1901-02 (under major heads) has exceeded the Budget grants by Rs 16,103/- and not by Rs 23,863 as stated by the Comptroller, and that the excess expenditure has been admitted in the final accounts of his office for that year under proper authority, subject to provision being made in a Supplemental Budget which has not yet been sanctioned.

The following statement furnishes details of the excess expenditure

(c) The excess expenditure of Rs 8263/- is stated to include the following

(1) Rs 1247/- charged to City water works

(2) Rs 3900/- debited in excess under "repayment of loan" as being the proper amount

(3) Rs 3392/- debited under "transfer to other departments" representing receipts of charges recoverable from Plague depts

---

Rs 8539 or Rs 276 more, the excess having been met from savings.

As regards the sum of Rs 390-3-3 (item 2 in docket no $\frac{17506}{R7099}$ of 15.6.03) provision was desired to be made in the Subsidemental Budget for 1901-02 (vide Comptroller's no 566 of 19.11.02)

It is thus seen that the excess expenditure admitted in the Comptroller's final accounts for 1901-02 and now requiring sanction amounts to Rs [illegible] while the [illegible]

Submitted to Secretary

The file does not contain an extract of the Council proge: (Vide para 2 of the note). As, under recent orders, an extract has to be put up for all files to be submitted to this Highness, the same may kindly be ordered to be put up.

24.5.03

Submitted

This Subject was not dealt with in the Council Branch, nor was it discussed at a meeting of Council. As ordered by the Dewan, the papers were apparently Submitted

to the 1st Councillor direct from the L.B Branch, for opinion in the matter. Hence, there was no record of Council Proceedings in this case.

This was wrong Hereafter such Papers shd invariably be sent to Council Branch for circulation.

28/5/03

Sd to Sol
28/5/03

An abstract of opinions recorded is submitted herewith.

29/5/3

The Dewan

30/5/03 OK

Submitted 10.52 a

Vide orders on the Office draft —

~~The Dewan directed that the Councillor's opinions may be put up in original in lieu of the abstract now sent~~ —

5.6.03.

LBB.
See orders on the draft & note the Sol's order above

8/6/03

by the President, for which sanction was not accorded, was for an additional expenditure of Rs 23,863/- exclusive of the items 2 & 3 referred to in docket (flag E) and amounting to Rs 1637-8-6

Submitted for orders as to whether in the circumstances stated, sanction may be accorded to the actual excess expenditure admitted in the Comptroller's final accounts for 1901-02

8/7/03

8/7/03 17/7/3

To the Dewan,
through the 2nd. Councillor.

The expenditure in question has already been incurred partly under sanction and partly unauthorised. It has further been admitted in the Comptroller final accounts under the circumstances stated by him - The amount involved is not- Rs 23863 & less- Rs 16,103. The formal sanction required may be conveyed. I have verified the Staff. & Recruitment

20/7/03

alteration or an addition are made

Para 1 as follows:-

"The President should however explain by means of a detailed statement the several items of excess expenditure incurred and admitted in the Comptroller's Accounts, the different works executed being specifically stated therein."

6/8/03

To the Secretary, War Council

Mr. The Deputy,

In regard to most of the items, details are forthcoming in this file - However let us have complete explanations with explanation.

8/8/03

12111/10.8.03

Maharaja of Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

REQUISITION FOR PAPERS.

Section

Correspondence
Customs
Docket or Letter
No. 6824
16.4.07

Filed

Deposit

File No.

Serial No.

from the
President,
Municipality
Mysore.

for reference & rearward.

To be put up with Diary No. of 191

-ment with Letter No.
822 & 18/6/07 from the
same Office
(4.7.12-07)

Signature
Branch
Section
Date

GPB—30,000—21-1-1

H. Narasimha Murthi
Asst
Clerk

P.T.O. 16/8/915

matter of the
ref: may kindly be
noted if not the file
containing the same
16/8/15

A reference to the Town
Register for 1900-01 will give
the subject, ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ The
subject is quoted in ref. 822
of 18.6.01. Besides the Registers
are not here.
16/8/1915.
N. Narasimha Murthi
clerk
promoted
The question to send
the file cumg the ref:
may be noted.
16.8.15
Put up ref: Please
refer to para 11 of G.O.
No 2625-39 Pol (B) Pol. No dated
the ~~[illegible]~~ ref: regd from Pl. Engrs
Branch papers now
with their correspt
in the Spl Estate file
10.8.15

No. 627

Enc. No. / Reg. No. L.1702 Gr. 1

Dated 23rd March 1901 ~~189~~ .

(1)

To

The

Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Revenue and General Department

Bangalore
18th March 1901

Sir,

I have the honor to state that for removing the filth and rubbish in the City, 35 Carts are employed on a monthly hire of ~~Rs~~ 15/- each, and these carts are expected to carry the rubbish twice a day from the City. This system, however, is not found to work satisfactorily. The quantity of rubbish taken in each cart is (?) generally very small, owing chiefly to the bullocks employed being weak from indifferent feeding.

It is also brought to notice that these Cartmen when returning from rubbish depots bring clandestinely into the City, Sand and earth and sell them.

All the warnings given to the Cart-owners on the point have not had the

desired

desired effect Therefore it is proposed for the pres.
to purchase 40 Carts and 40 pairs of
bullocks and maintain them departmentally
~~for the present~~

The initial Cost of purchasing
and stalling them will be about ~~Rs~~ 900/-
in addition to a maintenance charge of
~~Rs~~ 8000/- in the first year and for the next
and succeeding years the latter provision
of ~~Rs~~ 8000/- would alone be required against
the present annual expenditure of ~~Rs~~
7200/-

By this arrangement it is considered
that a larger quantity of rubbish would
be removed, and there would also be an
increase in the income from the disposal
of the manure The Board have accordingly
approved of the above proposal and a
Copy of their Resolution is herewith Submitted.
If this meets with the approval of Government,
I request you will be good enough to obtain
their Sanction for the necessary provision
being made in the Budget for 1901-1902

I have the honor to be
Your most obedient Servant
~~H~~ [illegible]
For President

Extract from the Proceedings of the Mysore City Municipal Board dated 23rd February 1901.

X X X

II

memo proposing to purchase 45 Carts and 45 pair of bullocks at a cost of Rs 9000/- for removing filth and rubbish in the City instead of employing hired Carts and bullocks as at present.

Resolved that the proposal Commends itself to the Board & is

X X X

(Sd) C Madaiah
President

H Thimmayya
For President

(2)

Read -

Letter no. 629 dated the 23rd March 1901 from the Pres[t] of the Munc[l] Comm[rs], Mysore City, requesting sanct to the purchase of 40 carts and 40 pairs of bullocks for the removal of rubbish in the City at a cost of Rs 9000/-, in lieu of the hired carts.

Order N: 13892 dt 10/4/01.
L.J.12-1901

R.L. 1820

Govt are inclined to think that hiring carts and bullocks is in every way preferable to owning and maintaining them moreover in the present unsatisfactory state of the Board's finances the initial outlay of such a large

sum as Rs 90000/- is a matter which deserves most serious consideration. It is hoped that the Board would be able to devise some arrangement by which the object aimed at could be gained without burdening the Budget with so heavy an initial expenditure, and without further incurring not only great responsibility but also loss by depreciation &c.

2nd Inst order)

9/4/1901
Fordeck
6/4/01

To

The Presdt. Munl. Commr.
Rangoon City

Dated 12th/19th May 1901 ~~189~~ .

To

The

Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the Budget Estimate of the Mysore City Municipality for the year 1901 and 1902, and to request that you will be good enough to obtain the Sanction of the Government for the same.

The draft Budget was referred by the Board to a Sub-Committee for Consideration and Submission of proposals which have accordingly been received and approved by the Board, Copies of their resolution and the Sub-Committee's report are herewith Submitted.

In spite of the insufficient provision made for Construction of drains and opening out congested parts

Considerably reduced, owing to provision made for extra items such as Vani Vilas Water Works and Health Department. I, therefore, request that as suggested by the Committee, you will be good enough to move the Government to be pleased to relieve the Municipality for at least 5 years, of the charges on account of the Charitable and Educational Exants which amount to Rs 16,425/ annually

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

For President

ings of the Anjengo Municipal Board, dated 15th April 1901.

× × ×

Report of the Sub-Committee on the Draft Budget Estimate of the Municipality for 1901-1902.

Resolved that the draft Budget and Sub-Committee report be approved.

(Sd) V. Ramappa Iyengar
President

Member
for President

9 Ex 63

To

The President

City Municipality

Mysore

Sir,

We, the members of the Sub. Committee appointed under resolution no IV of the Municipal Proceedings dated 23rd February 1901, have the honor to report as follows, with reference to the Board's resolution no VII of 30th march 1901 asking us to resubmit the draft Budget Estimate for 1901-1902 with definite proposals -

Receipts

I In perusing the Receipts, the following alterations were found necessary --

That under Octroi a net increase of Rs 1,480 over the last year's estimate is shown as some increases under cloth and timber can be expected.

(2) That under house tax as already reported Rs 3,000/- more than the current year's estimate is provided as under revision made last year, so much can be effected.

(3) That under [illegible]

houses and markets there will be an increase of Rs 900/- as the same may be realized owing to the introduction of new Mayor Rules and by collection of rent from Padakhanas and shops in the markets.

(4) That under miscellaneous, a sum of Rs 4000/- more has been provided as there is every probability of recovering Rs 2000/- from the sale of materials of old houses and lands, and Rs 2000/- from the Water-tax owing to more connections given in the previous year.

II

Expenditure

That under Conservancy an increase of Rs 3,600/- is shown over the usual charge to meet the cost of extra sweepers brought from Jexupathi, whose services will not be dispensed with after the close of the present year as it is under contemplation to introduce horse conservancy System.

(2) That for the newly-sanctioned

xxtment of Registration

of births and deaths, Health Department and the Plague Hospital Rs 8,412 is required but only half of it is provided as the Government have been moved to sanction the balance from the Provincial Funds.

(3) That under Education, a sum of Rs 696/- has been reduced as there is no probability of more Dial Schools being opened. ? 304 only

(4) That under other items Miscellaneous, a sum of Rs 276/- is provided more than the usual cost in order to meet maintenance charges of the Vani Vilas Water Works in addition to Rs 6000/- already provided.

(5) That under closing balance there is only a sum of Rs 14325 instead of the usual amount of Rs 25000/-. In the current year, Rs 20,000/- and Rs 9000/- were provided for Compensation and Construction of drains respectively, but in the budget in question only Rs 3000/- for each are provided, as funds have to be made available for the additional

additional maintenance charges of the Vani Vilas Water Works and to the Health and its adjunct offices from the Plague Department

(5) There is good deal to be done in the way of opening out the congested parts of the city but for want of funds sufficient provision cannot be made in the Budget to improve the bad localities. Therefore we beg to suggest that the Government be moved to relieve the Municipality for a period of at least 5 years of meeting the charges of the Charitable institutions and Educational grants, which amount to Rs 16,425/- annually

We beg to remain
Sir,
your most obedient Servants
(Sd) A. C. Vanjumda Raj Urs.
" M. Venkatakrishniah
" A. Krishna Rao
" K. Sreecanteah

Copy:

Member
For President

13

dr 16569 8/14-9-01
12. 1901

Hal.
78 of 1900-01
"4"

N.L. 2242

To

The Presdt. Mc Comm.
Mysore City

His no. 742 of the 12/19 ult.
1901 submitting the Budget-
Estimates of the City Mc for
1901-1902

—

With reference to para 2
under "Expenditure" of the
Sub-Committee's Report received
with the above, has the honour
to request that the President
will be good enough to ~~state~~ ~~quote~~
quote the number and date of
his reference to Govt. ~~whereby~~ sanction
they ~~have~~ ~~have~~ requested to debit
a moiety of the cost of the
Health Deptt. to Provincial
Funds

By order,

13/11.
for Secy.

14 14908 / 21-6-01 190 A 2344

FROM The President etc/ and Commr mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of mysore General and Rev Dept.

Dated

Despatched 18th June 1901

Received

General No. 822
No.

Enclosure No.

SECT. Gen

FILE N. 78 A 1900-0

SER. 2

To the Chief Secretary to Govt. in the Revenue Department

d 7
26.6.01

To Hd clerk (Rep) of 21 6 01

Sir,

no order has been passed on this, though sent to be placed in Revn DSR. 17/8/01.

This paper comes to me for the first time.

L J P Branch

Please put up any Precedents etc 6/8/01 4/8/01 quoted written 17/8/01

File put up. Please return it soon

(X) Sir,

you say that this paper comes to you for the first time; but please see slips of your own handwriting sobmit to show that the paper has passed your hands more than 4 or 5 times

DSR

The City Municipal office
15 Dated 18th June 1901

No 822

From

The President City
Municipality Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt
— ment of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

In reply to your docket no 16569 / R.D. 12-1901 dated 14th Inst, requesting to quote the number and date of reference in which the Sanction of Government has been solicited to debit moiety of the cost of the Health Department to Provincial funds, I have the honour to inform you that the above reference was submitted to Government with this office no 684 of 16th April 1901

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

H Hayward
For President.

15 Le B(P) 251

OFFICE OF THE
835 1901
19-7-01
FROM DEWAN OF Resident, City
Municipal Commission
Mysore

16
L 104

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Revenl & Rev. Dept Bg,

Dated
Despatched } 17th July 1901
Received

General No. 5'51
No.

Enclosure No. /

Soliciting Sanction
of Government to entertain to two care-takers
at 5 Rs each
for Sanitary houses constructed near Chatnahully Camp -

L 7
1901

Put up
Sir -

C/No 5517 The City Municipal Office
Dated 17th July 1901

From

The President, City Municipal Commissioner
Mysore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts,

Sir,

With reference to your docket no L B.(P) 484 dated 4th June 1901, requesting to point out the source from which it is proposed to meet the pay of two care-takers at Rupees 5/- a month and look after the temporary sheds and permanent Sanitary houses constructed near Chatnahelly Extension, Krishnaraj mohalla &c, I have the honor to state that the Board have decided to meet the charge from the General Saving of the Municipal Budget pending disposal the financial question now before the Government in connection with the Sanitary houses A copy of this Resolution is herewith Submitted

I request you will be good enough to obtain the Sanction of the Government for the same One Provision will be made for the charge in the Supplemental Budget for the current year

I have

The Proceedings of the Mysore City Municipal Board, dated 29th June 1901 at 8 a m.

× × ×

11 Docket no 16131/L B.(S) 1486 dated 14th June 1901 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, requesting to point out the source from which the pay of the two care takers required for Sanitary houses constructed near Chetnahully Camp, can be met

Resolved that the pay of the two care-takers, be met from the General Savings of the Municipal Budget and that due provision be made for the same in the Supplemental Budget to be submitted for the next official year, pending the disposal of the financial question now before Government in connection with the Sanitary Scheme referred to

× ×

(Sd) H. Ramanuja Iyengar
President

Thanjavur

For President

(7)

Read again -

Letter no. 749 dated the 22nd May 1907 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Mysore City soliciting sanction to the employment of two care-takers on Rs 5/- each per mensem to look after the temporary sheds and some permanent sanitary houses constructed near Chet. nahalli Extension in krish. naraj mohalla of the City, under orders of the Plague Court, and stating that the said care-takers are necessary to prevent loss of property or deterioration since they all remain unoccupied and the Police guard attached to them has been withdrawn.

Read -

Letter no. 55 dated the 17th July 1907 from the same Officer submitting, with reference to this office docket no. 16131 L.B.P. 484 of the 4th June 1901, a copy of the Board's resolution that the pay of the two care-takers in question may be met from the General Savings of the Municipal Budget for 1900-1901 and that due provision therefor for the current year be made in the Supplemental Budget.

Order thereon No. 2000-1 L.B.P. 12-1901. 9/8/07

P.L. 163.

The employment of the two care-takers referred to, is sanctioned, and the Board's proposal regarding the adjustment of the charge is approved.

The President is requested to intimate direct

to the Comptroller the date from which the said increases are proposed to be entertained.

24/7/1907

Secty.

JB
24/7/1907

To

The President, Mt. Town Council
Mysore City -
& Comptroller -

22

L 196

8

1737
6-8-01. 190 1

FROM The President
City Municipal
Commission Mysore

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Dept.
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 5th August 1901
Received

General No. 116
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Requesting the sanction
of the list forwarded herewith

SEC: Mal

FILE N: 76-1-97

SER:

L 2
6-8-01

A-S-eh
8-8-01 7/8/01

Records
Please put up the reference if it
has since been received Mal 12/8 L 7-12-1901
The references are with the Dewan
12/8/01 DLR

No. 116
Enc: No. 1

Mysore City Municipality
President's Office
Dated 30th July 1901.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Revenue and General Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith a list showing the amount required to be placed at my disposal for works to be sanctioned by me and to request that you will be kind enough to obtain the sanction of the Government for the same

I have the honour to.
Sir,
Your most obedient servt.

[signature]
for - President

mb

Imperial Municipality

Statement showing the amount required to be placed at the disposal of the President of Imperial City Municipality out of the sum allotted in the Budget grant for the year 1901-902 under VIII Public works.-

Name of heads	Budget Grant for 1901-902	Amount at disposal of the President	Amount to be reserved for works to be sanctioned by Govt.	Remarks
Roads	1,000	1,000	"	
Road Repairs	14,000	14,000	"	
Rail Original	3,000	2,000	1,000	
Rail Repairs	1,000	1,000	"	
	1,500	1,500	"	
	1,000	1,000	"	
Original	1,000	1,000	"	
	1,200	1,200	"	
	1,500	1,500	"	
	400	400	"	
	200	200	"	
	3,000	1,500	1,500	
and Plants	300	300	"	
Total	29,100	1,00	0	

Yl

H Champion Esq
for President

Mail

F.N. 28 of 1901 - 9

To the 3rd Councillor
With xxx
1st Cr's remarks
Para 12.

Letter No 742 dated 12th May 1901 from the President Municipal Commission, Ottapalam City, submitting the Budget estimates of the City Municipality for 1901-1902 together with an extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Board approving of the same

— ... — R. 245.

Order thereon No 2525-39 S
L.7.12-1901 1/9/01

The estimates of expenditure have been altered by striking out all provision for original works and the 11000 R. required for street maintenance charges of water works. I am not sure whether it is expedient to leave out the original works, but the two items seem to be somewhat inconsistent. Submitted for orders of the Commission

15/7/1901

The estimated receipts and expenditure of the Municipality for 1901-1902 as compared with those of the year 1900-1901 are given in the following statement:—

Receipts

Budget head	Items		
I	Octroi	63800	65286
II	Tax on houses	12,000	15000
III	Licenses (a) Liquor shops	7000	7000
	(b) Other licensed	2000	2000
IV	Carriage tax	500	400
V	Tax on animals	1200	1200
VI	Tolls and ferries	20,000	20000
VII	Mohatarfa	6400	6450
VIII	Rents	6270	7100
IX	Fines	250	250
X	Miscellaneous	16250	19420
XI	Payment for ml services rendered to indiv	200	150
	Total —	135,870	144,250

Expenditure

Budget head	Items	As sanctioned for 1900-1901	Estimate for 1901-1902
II	Head office	9887	9816
III	Collection	12727	11280
IV	Conservancy	32,433	33198
VI	Registration of births and deaths	6008	4806
VII	Lighting	8088	8088
VIII	Public works - (a) Supervision	3252	3252
	(b) Do works	55,648	29,100
IX	Charitable grants	7425	7415
X	Education	8696	9000
XI	Miscellaneous.	25,293	25,305
	Total —	164,457	141,260

(2. The Govt pay not to

Statement No I has not been properly prepared, in accordance with the instructions contained in Official memo No $\frac{6861-70}{157}$ D) 8th Dec 1889, the differences between the actuals of ~~1899-1900 and of the first half of 1900-1901 entered in columns 2 & 3,~~ should have been shewn in column 4 of the statement, as probable collections of the 2nd half of the latter year and not the excess of the sanctioned estimate for 1900-1901 over the ~~first~~ actuals of the first half of the [redacted] year. The necessary corrections have been made in this office with an observance to that in future Budget statements, should be caused to be prepared with care

the entries required by the form laid down not being accurately made. The Corrections necessary have been made here but in future, better attention should be paid to the preparation of such returns.

3. I. Octroi Increases on expected under "Tea" and "Cloth" are supported by the actuals of 1899-1901. The

estimates are allowed. But as the proposed estimate under 'Jaggery' (Rs 4500) which is the same as that sanctioned for 1900-1901 is not justified by the Collns arrears of 1899-1900 and of the first half of 1900-1901, which are only Rs 3814 & 1935 respectively, it will be reduced to Rs 4000

4. II House tax. Owing to the revision of House tax recently ordered, an increase of Rs. 3000 over the sanctioned estimate for 1900-1901 is expected. But the estimate is passed.

5. IX Carriage tax. The decrease under this head has not been explained. As the arrears of 1899-1900 were Rs 7600 and no special reasons exist for ~~[illegible]~~ anticipating any decrease under this head, the sanctioned estimate for 1900-1901 will be repeated in the present Budget.

6. X Miscellaneous. The

The sub-committee anticipates an increase of Rs 2000 the sale of materials of old houses and a decrease of Rs 2000 from the Tax on house service pipes, of which a large number were given in the previous years. The estimate is approved.

Expenditure

7. II Head office. The net decrease under this head is chiefly due to the abolition of the post of Assistant accountant on Rs 15- per mensem and to the appointment of a Darfadar on Rs 7 p.m. instead of a peon on 6 Rs. The provision is passed.

8. Under III Collection (a) & (b) there is a decrease due chiefly to the discontinuance of the temporary allowance sanctioned to the Patwaris for

for collecting the tolls, which
are intended to be
leased out in future
The provision is approved.

9 III Collection (b), (c) House & Other taxes and rents. The ~~amount~~ on account of salaries of establishments increases under these heads is due to the provision made for ~~12~~ to 12 months in the present Budget on account of increases to certain heads sanctioned for only a portion of the year during 1900-1901 The estimate is allowed. It is noted that the amount of ~~[illegible]~~ in the Budget for 1900-1901 on account of remuneration Municipal Comm is omitted in present Budget

	1900-1901	1901-1
Sweepers	7 at 8 = 504	5 at 8 =
Do	38 at 7 = 2,541	30 at 7 = 2,
Do	57 at 6 = 3690	62 at 6 = 4,
Do	122 at 5 = 7,350	122 at 5 = 7,
Do	72 at 4 = 3,456	73 at 4 = 3,
Do	2 at 2½ = 36	2 at 2 =
Do	2 at 1 = 18	2 at 1 =
	17,595	18,3
Net increase	765	

10 IV ~~Collection~~ (Conservancy) The variations proposed are noted in the margin and are in accordance with sanctions conveyed from time to time The provision is passed, with an observation that with a view to introduce house conservancy system the Board wish to retain the

services of the extra sweepers brought from Trimulgherry. The estimate is allowed

11. VI Registration of Births and Deaths In lieu of the usual changes on account of the salaries of Registrars of births and deaths, the present Budget provides for only a moiety of the cost of the scale of establishments under Health Officer and Registrars of different etch as well as Disinfection staff Evangere Plague Camp sanctioned in Proceedings e/o Pf 919-22 dated 29 January 1901, with slight modifications noted below and states that he solicited the sanction of Govt in his e/o 684 of 16/4/01 to debit the other moiety to Provincial funds. Pending the issue of final orders of Govt on this reference, procession

Spare copy put up.

This is pending in the L-I Plague Branch

I think this has been disposed of since.

provision will be made for the entire cost of ~~the~~ modified scale of establishment ^ as the Health officer has as yet been sanctioned for the City, provision made for his ~~pay~~ allowance will be a saving ^ approved by the Govt. present.

Details

	Establishment as sanctioned in Proc 1011-22 29,			Estab as provided in Budget		
	No	Rate	Annual cost	No	Rate	Annual cost
			Rs			Rs
Health officer Pay.	1	200	~~2400~~	1	50	600
(a) Establishment ½ cost.						
(1) Head Clerk	1	25	300	1	20	~~240~~ 120
(2) Statistical clerk	1	20	240	1	15	~~180~~ 90
(3) Mukaddam	1	15	180	"	"	"
(4) Daffadar ~~or Pic~~	1	8	96	"	"	"
(5) Peon	3	6	216	1	7	42
				2	6	72
(6) Allowance to Registrars	8	20	1920	8	10	480
(7) Sub. Registrars	9	10	1080			
	7	8	672	16	8	768
(8) Nurses	3	12	43	3	12	~~216~~
(9) Clerks	7	15	1260	"	"	"
(10) Peons	7	6	504	7	6	25
Total Rs			~~6480~~ 6 00			264
(b) Disinfection Staff - ½ cost						
(1) Inspector	1	20	240	"	"	"
(2) Gangmen	2	10	240	1	10	60
(3) Coolies	16	7	1512	10	7	420
Total			19			480
(c) Central Stores ½ cost						
(1) Store keeper (allowance)	1	10	120	1	5	30
(2) Compounder	1	8	96	1	7	42
(3) Peon	1	7	84	1	6	36
			300			10

Hospital Apothecary	1	60+20	960	1	20	120
(2) Clerk	1	15	180	1	15	90
(3) Compounder	1	9	108	1	9	54
(4) Malewarders	3	12	432	3	12	216
(5) Female do	3	12	432	3	12	216
(6) Male Lokè	1	10	120	1	10	60
(7) Female do	1	10	120	1	10	60
(8) Vakkalgar Cook	1	10	120	.	.	.
(9) Lingayat do	1	10	120	1	10	60
(10) Brahmin do	1	15	180	1	15	90
(11) Gosai	1	10	120	.	.	.
(12) Dhobi	1	8	96	1	4	24
Total Rs.			2988			990
Police ~~Staff~~ Officer ½ cost						
(1) Lance Daffedar	1	14	168	1	14	84
(2) Cumbaleles	12	7	1008	12	7	504
Total Rs.	.	.	1176	.	.	588
Grand Total			13356			

12. VIII P.W. Owing to the insufficiency of funds, the provisions made under the marginally noted sub-heads will be struck out and the estimate reduced to Rs: 18,100/-

1	Roads orgl:-	
2	Drains - do..	
3	Buildings do...	
4	Other works do -	1
5	Water supply - do -	1
6	Compensation...	3
		11.0

13. IX Charitable Grants. The decrease of Rs 10/- under the head is due to the provision made according to the revised scale of salaries sanctioned in Govt. Proceedings No. 5242-45 / 24 79-92 d/ 13th October 1900, to the Hakeem and Apptt. Hakeem attached to the Indigenous Hospital. The provision is approved.

14. X Education. Instead of Rs 1040/-

I am not sure whether with a [illegible] of plague to cope it is wise to strike the P.W.D items. But on this would consult as I am only in [illegible]

	Former pay	Present pay
Hakeem	40 & 25	Rs
Assistant do	10 & 15.	

[illegible] Rs 29100 [illegible] Rs 11000 [illegible] minimum [illegible] no provision been made [illegible] is [illegible] Rs 9600 is less than minimum. [illegible] no objection to omission of all [illegible] 6 items on (2) original drains

sanctioned for 1900 1901
on account of grants in aid
to pial schools, the
present Budget provides
Rs: 1344. This accounts
for the increase under
this head, which is
sanctioned, subject to
details being furnished.
15 XI Bruis: (h) 6
Items. The provision
made in the present
Budget at the rate of
Rs: 9 instead of Rs: 8/
per mensem entered
in the Budget of 1900-1901
on account of the
salary of the Head--
Maly attached to the
Peoples' Park accounts
for the increase under
this head, which
is sanctioned

sanctioned upon
a request of Resolution
on the same subject
Thirty rupees
per mensem
this budget

To meet the maintenance cost of Vanivilas Water Works incurred during 1899-1900, a sum of Rs 1130 5/- † will be entered and the provision made in the present Budget under the Vani- -vilas Water Works, from Rs 6276 for which details should be furnished raised to Rs: 17581

† Note This was ordered to be met from Municipal funds has but given for and draft passed & issued Dy 151

6276
1130 5'
17681

5. The other items call for no remarks, and are passed.

6. The Budget estimates of receipts and expenditure of the Mysore City Municipality for 1901-1902 are passed, the details being given in the accompanying Statement, of which the following is an

Estimates

Items of Receipts.

		Estimates for 1905-06	Revised Estimates for 1905-06
I	Octroi	65280	64780
II	Tax on houses & lands	15000	15000
III	License receipts	9000	9000
IV	Carriage tax	400	500
V	Tax on Animals	1200	1200
VI	Tolls & ferries	20000	20000
VII	Molubarfa	6450	6450
VIII	Rents	7100	7100
IX	Fines	250	258
X	Driscellaneous	19420	19420
XI	Payment for Municipal services to be rendered & rendered	150	150
	Total income	144250	143858
	Opening balance	11335	11335
	Grand Total	155585	155185

Expenditure.

II	Head office	9816	9816
III	Collection	11280	11280
IV	Conservancy	33198	33198
VI	Registration of births & deaths	4806	9012
VII	Lighting	8088	8088
VIII	Public Works:		
	(a) Supervision	3252	3252
	(b) to (o) Works	29100	29100 18
IX	Charitable grants	7415	7415
X	Education	9000	9000
XI	Driscellaneous	25305	25305 36610
	Total Exp:	141260	145766
	Closing balance	14325	9419
	Grand Total	155585	155185

The closing balance is less than the prescribed minimum by Rs 10806. Every endeavour

should be made to
the prescribed minimum balance.

Sec. 13. Secretary
The President, Mem.
Comm. Myrone City.
Comptroller
Chief Engineer
Senior Surgeon
Inspector Gl. of E & D
Sanitary Insp. Engr
Asst Chief Engineer
Presidents of other Municipalities.

To

The President, Municipal
Commte Tuticorin City -



His no 116 dated the 5th August 1901 requesting that a sum of Rs 26000/- proposed by him to be spent on original works and repairs in the City - during the current year may be placed at his disposal.

P.L.279
3021
L.F-82-1901 3/9/01.

With reference to the above has the honour to invite the Presidents attention to the Govt Progs: no $\frac{2825-39}{L.F.12-01}$ of the 14th instant, wherein the provision for original works have been disallowed to the extent of Rs 11000/- in view to provide ~~amount sanctioned~~ for the maintenance charges of the Water works in the City -

Requests, therefore, the

his application may be modified
the above
consistently with ~~that~~ order.

"By order"

11/8/[illegible]

For Secty.

5/8/01

D L 309

2673 190

FROM 26-8-01.

The President City Municipal Commission Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl & Rev: Depts,

Dated

Despatched } 22nd August 1901

Received

General No. 166

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Requesting to debit to Provincial Funds the guarding charges of 20 prisoners

17.

26 " "

C.H.S. Chas

Records

Please put up 17-99 & 27-96

Recd from the Genl Branch today 31/8

Refers rect & note put up 1/9

Please see note & put-up ref as of the above Obt 2/9

21-9-01

Returned from Asstt Secy's table for reference & records Mad 30/

No 166 &c City municipal office
Mysore dated 22nd August 1901

From

The President
City, municipal Board, Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore

Revenue and general departments

Sir

I have the honour to state that as the first Councillor, during his recent inspection of the city, ordered that the services of the prisoners might be utilized for the formation of the roads in the new extensions and in the Weavers' lines, 20 prisoners are employed for the above work The Superintendent of the jail demands the guarding charge, which amount to Rs 2 per mensem.

I request you will be good enough to obtain the orders of the Government to debit the charge to the jail or provincial Funds A Copy of the jail superintendents' docket No 87 dated 5th August 1901 is herein enclosed for reference

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

[illegible]
Vice President

Ex 5/11

190/ (22)

FROM

The Jail Supd (9
Mysore

To The Vice-President
City municipality
Mysore

Dated

Despatched 5th Aug 07.

Received

General No. 87
No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to his No. 107 dated 2nd Inst requesting to send 20 prisoners abe-- has the honour to enquire whether the municipality is prepared to pay for guarding charges of the 20 prisoners asked for

(Sd) PS Achyutha Rao.
Supd Mysore jail

"Copy"

for President.

mz

L 310

1901 2674 26-8-01

FROM The President City Municipality Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated Genl & Rev: Depts,

Despatched } 22nd August 1901

Received

General No. 167

No.

Enclosure No. /

Soliciting sanction of Govt to give promotions to the Managers and peons at Sayer Cokado.

a" 7
2611

L S Cha
Asst
27.8.01

Records.

Please put the file Mad
3078

Refer: rect & file put up Mad
4/9

From

The President, City Municipal Commission, Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Dept^s.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that more than half of the income of this municipality is derived from the Octroi Revenue. The Managers and peons who are the back bone of that Department have to work day and night and on alternate nights they have to sleep in the octrois which are far away from the city therefore their work is exacting and irksome, Considering also the temptations in their way they are poorly paid as noted in the margin

My Predecessor Municipal Commissioner for Krishnaraj Sagar, accordingly brought forward a proposal to increase the pay of each Manager by Rs 2/ and of each peon by Re 1/- a month, and the Board have approved of it. A copy of this resolution is herewith submitted. I also consulted the

		Present Scale		Proposed scale	
9	Managers at	Rs 8/- &	month = Rs 72	at Rs 10/- =	Rs 90
12	Do -	Do - " 7/-	- Do - = " 84	" 9/- =	Rs 108
1	Daffedar at	Rs 6/-	Do - = " 6	1 at " 7/- =	Rs 7
14	Peons	" " 6/-	- Do - = " 84	14 " " 7/- =	Rs 98
25	- Do -	- 5/-	Do - = " 125	25 " " 6/- =	Rs 150
15	- Do -	" " 4/-	Do - = 60	15 " " 5/- =	Rs 75
		Total for a month	431	-- Total for a month	528
		" for the year	5172	" for the year	6336

= net increase Rs 1164/-

that these hara-working men, whose pay was fixed when living was very cheap, are inadequately remunerated as the value of articles of food has considerably risen since then

By the proposed increase of pay to those men, there will be an additional cost of Rs 1164 per annum as noted in the margin and the same will be provided in the Supplemental Budget of the current year. I request therefore that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of the Government for the same

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

For

Extract from proceedings of the Mysore City Municipal Board, dated the 27th July 1901.

× × ×

VIII The following propositions from Mr K. Sreekantiah, municipal Commissioner —

(1) That the allowance sanctioned till the end of June last to the Managers and peons at Sayer Oakes may be confirmed by increasing their pay proportionately.

Resolved that the proposal be approved as the pay of the Managers and peons, is too poor.

× × ×

(Sd) K Ramanuja Iyengar
President

Hemji Nayar
For President

3350
9-9-01 1901

FROM N OF MYSORE

4417

From Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
Offg President City Municipal
Commissioner Mysore

To
H. V. Nanjundayyah Esq
M A M.L
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Depts.

Dated
Despatched } 5th September 1901
Received

General No. 186
No.

Enclosure No. 1

To Govt letter No. 4899-8-19-01

Submitting for Sanction a Statement of incomplete works of last year to be carried out during the current year

4 + 12/5
9 9 n

H.V. Char
OK
10.9.01

Please put up 37-99 +
79-99 Mad 14/9
Draft to Govt Mad
17/9

From A. Ramanuja Iyengar Esq.
Offg President city municipal
Commission mysore

To N. V. Narjundayyah Esqr. M.A.B.
Secretary to the Govern.
of mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to submit here
with a statement of incomplete works of
last year to be carried out during the
current year in the city municipality mys.
for Rs 76,54/- and to request that you wi
be kind enough to obtain the sanction of
Government to the same. The necessary/sh
vision will be made in the supplemental
Budget for the current official year whic
will be submitted shortly.

I have the honor to
Sir,
your most obedient Servan
[signature]
For President







3357
9-9-1901
MYSORE

8

FROM M. Ramanuja Iyengar Esq.
President city Mul
Commrs Mysore

To V. V. Ramanadayyah Esqr
M A & C
Secretary to the Govt of
Genl & Rev: Depts
Mysore

Dated
Despatched } 5th September 1901
Received

General No. 189
No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting to move the Government to reconsider the orders passed on the Budget of the City Municipality Mysore, as the items which were struck off, are to be retained

A S Chas
MM
10 9 01

L 7
9 9 1

Rte 04/9

No. 189

From,

H. Ramanuja Ayyangar Esqr
Offg President City Municipal
Commissioner Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayyah Esqr M.A
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings no 2825-39/L.F 12-1901, striking off the marginally noted items in the current year's budget of the Mysore city municipality, I have the honor to state that many roads and lanes have already been opened in Mundy Mohalla as a Plague preventive measure. When Plague broke out again this year, the Dewan was pleased to inspect all the infected localities and ordered the removal of many infected houses and the opening out of the congested parts in that mohalla. Accordingly the work was undertaken and the streets as given in the accompanying statement have already been opened out. Since the opening out of these parts, the Plague cases have considerably been reduced

All these improvements have again been inspected by the Dewan, the

Roads Ex: general	Rs 10000	=
Do	Rs 3000	=
Buildings	Rs 1500	=
Other works	Rs 1500	=
Water supply	Rs 1000	=
Conservancy	Rs 3000	=
	Rs 11,000	=

the 1st Councillor and the Officiating Senior Surgeon and approved. Now the Municipality is left without funds to meet this expenditure as the provisions made in the budget have been struck off.

I request therefore that you will kindly move the Government to reconsider the orders above referred to, and to be pleased to accord permission to retain the items in question in the Budget in order to meet the expenditure already incurred.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H Cayley
For President

Statement of Streets opened out in Mundy and Laskar Mohallas, with the amount of Compensation to be paid, of dismantling and cost of Compensation.

Street no	Lot no	Names of Mohallas and Streets	No of houses	No of Lots	No of Sq yds	Compensation	Cost of dismantle	Cost of Cross drains			Amount spent on each Street			Amount spent on the Streets		
27	1	Widening Hajamar gully	1	4	189 1/2	178	31	137	48	10	258	7	7	258	7	7
8	1	From Bunty Nawab Street to Voppinakere Street	9	28	37 1/3	756	141	124	12	15	910	11	5			
	2	From Mochighat Street to Thogaru street	11	36	809	852	160	134	12	5	1025	3	2			
	3	From Raja mundy Street to Patwegar gully	11	40	806 2/3	1141	125	11	2	15	1282	6	5			
	4	From Patwegar street to Mochighat Street	2	8	171 1/3	249					249			3467	5	0
	1	From Bunty Nawab street to the gully behind Nat Shah mundy Mundy	2	14		693	69	12		34	796	12	3			
		From Sajjath Sab's gully to the Do Do	5	18	356	369	61	3	6	30	460	3	6			
		From Raja mundy Street to Budabudake Street	5	20	544	549	105	3	10		654	3	10			
		From Savayee street to Sajjath Sabi gully	5	8 1/2	472	461	12	8	2	53	636	15	4			
		From Sajjath Sabi gully to Bunty Nawab Street			150 1/2	8					82					
		Cross Street no I from Savayee Street Westward			144	173	30	10	8	12	216	2	11	2846	5	10
		Lashkar:- From Savayee Street eastward	11	69	1313	1500	322		10	22	844	11	6			
		no II Cross Street from Baxa Imam road to no I ab			123 1/3	63					63					
		no III Cross Street Do Do			53	15					15			1922	1	6
		Total	63	25	5569 1/2	7081	4 1171	9	5	24	6	8494	13	11	8494	1

Register (15)

No 4899

To

The Comptroller in
Mysore

Had the honour to request that the Comptroller will be good enough to intimate the actual or the approximate opening balance in favour of the Mysore City Municipality on the 1st July 1901. and to report when the final Municipal and D. F. Accounts of this office may be expected.

"By order"

For Secy

RL 44°

4899 dt 18/9/01

L.F. 12-07

OFFICE OF THE
4068
25 9 01
OF MYSORE

189

From The Comptroller, Mysore Govt

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore Gl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 20 Sept. 01.

Despatched

Received

L F. No. 55-7
No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

SECTION
FILE NO. 990-01
SUB 16

Ro 6126-27 B 8/10/01.
L-12-1901.

Mr Vencatarao

A.S Chas
OU
26.9.01

Rec 28/9

Read & drafted Syd
28/9

L. F. No. 557 OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE.

Bangalore, 20th September 1901.

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. M.L.

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue *Dept.s.*

Sir,

With reference to your No 4899/L.F. of 12-1901 of the 18th Instant, I have the honor to state that from the information now available the balance to the credit of Mysore City Municipality on the 1st July 1901 may be estimated at Rs 55600

I expect to have the preliminary Municipal & District Fund Accounts closed by the 10th of next month. The final ones will be ready in the second week of December & the accounts before the end of that month.

I have the honor to be

sir

Your most obedient servant.

E. R. Subrayer

Comptroller of Mysore.

A.S.

Read. 7 Drafts (17) +7 (24)

Letter no 822 dated the 10th June 1907 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Zupone City, in regard to the ~~cost of~~ adjustment of the cost of the Health Department of the City municipality

Order thereon

SECTION Gen
FILE No. 7804
SER

RL 447

4961
LT 12 - 1901 9/9/01

Recorded with reference to the Genl Progs: no 2825-39 / L.T. 12-01 dated the 14th August 1907, and with the observation that the President may propose the modifications of the sanctioned allotments in the revised Budget which may be submitted hereafter

To

The Presidt Municipal Commn
Zupone City

5.

(18) R.L. 471.

No. 6068 — 28/9/01.
27-12-1901

To

The President,
Municipal Commrs.,
Ingtone City.

His no. 189 dated the 5th September 1901 soliciting sanction to the retention in the current years City Municipal Budget of certain items under the Public Works that were disallowed by

---

With reference to the has the honour to observe that as per detailed statement received therewith an expenditure of Rs 87.94-13-4 ~~has already~~ been incurred by the President on roads and lanes in the City, although the provision proposed by him for roads & conservancy on the

current year's Budget was only Rs 4000/-, and that, ~~from him, the President's letter~~ it is not clear as to how and from what source the amount was paid.

Requests, therefore, that the President will be good enough to report when, from what date and how the expenditure of Rs 8,494-13-11 was incurred by ~~the Boo~~ him, how, in the absence of funds, the provisions proposed by him in the current year's Budget for original works and compensatio, could be retained, and what explanation he has to offer for starting the works without previous sanction.

"By order"

JD 10/9/1917

For Secty.



L 5-88

From H. Seshadriengar Esqr
President City Mul
Board Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayyah Esquire
M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore

Dated General and Revenue Depts
Despatched } 3rd October 1901
Received }

General No. 2502
No. "

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting for sanction a list showing the amount required for works to be sanctioned -

L 7/12
5/10/1

Rec'd & drafted
7/10

C/No 250 P.A. The City 9me
Dated 2/3/[illegible]

From

A Ramaniya Iyengar &c
President City
Police Mysore

To

A V Narjundayyah Esquire
&c &c &c
Secretary to the Govt of
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith a list showing the amount required to be placed for my for works to be sanctioned within my power and to request that will be kind enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the same.

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedient Ser
[signature]
For President

List showing the amount required to be placed at the disposal of the C
of the Kingsone City Municipality out of the Sum allotted in the Budget for the year 1901/902 under VIII

4/2

Names of Heads.	Budget Grant for 1901/1902	Amt to be placed at the disposal of President	Amt to be for works to be sanctioned by Govt.	Remarks.
Road M	14000	14000	"	
Drain Repairs	1000	1000	"	
Building Repairs	1000	1000	"	
Water Supply Repairs	1200	1200	"	
" Work Repairs	400	400	"	
Road Watering	200	200	"	
Total	17800	17800	"	

1/1/1901

Hollingsworth
for President

Rs. 5-9/.

6065-66

17.12-1901 10/10/1901

Read-

Letter no 167 dated the 22nd August 1901 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Mysore City, soliciting sanction to the Board's recommendation of raising the pay of each of the 21 Octroi Managars and 52 peons by Rs 2/- and one respectively, and that of the Daffedar by one rupee

---

Order thereon

Govt are pleased to accord their sanction to the pay of each of the 12 managars at 7/- and 15 ps at 4/- and that of the Daffedar at 6/- being raise by one rupee with effect from the 1st July 1901, with the observation that the present sanction must be regarded as

as provisional till orders ~~should have been~~ are passed on the Supplemental Budget for the current year, which the President is requested to submit as early as possible, providing for the additional charge of Rs 3½/- per annum as per details given in the Margin.

6	Designation	As existing	As sanctioned
11	Manegar	12 @ 7/-	12 @ 8
23	Peons	15 @ 4/-	15 @ 5
03	Daffedar	1 @ 6/-	1 @ 7
	Total:	150	178

Net increase per month = 28
Do per year = 336

2. The other proposals made by the President ~~in his letter~~ may be renewed when the financial condition of the Municipality is more promising.-

JJ
9/10/..
For Secty.
8/10/05

To

The Presdt. Munl. Commr.
Bangalore City
& Comptroller

(251)

(21)

Read -

Letter no 186 dated the 5th September 1901 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Mysore City, submitting for sanction a statement of incomplete works in the Mysore City requiring a sum of Rs 7654/- for completion during the current year, and stating that necessary provision for the charge will be made in the Supplemental Budget of the City municipality.

Also letter no 557 dated the 20th September 1901 from the Comptroller, stating, with reference to this office docket no 2899/12-01 of the 9th instant, that from the information now available the balance to the credit of Mysore City Municipality on the 1st July 1901 may be estimated

Approved
7/10/01

R-L-595

6126-27
12-01 9/10/01

Entered & Complete

at Rs 55600/-.

Under Section

The list of incomplete works relevant to above is submitted.

2 The President is requested to put on hand the several works and complete as early as possible thereafter but it is not exceeding the said sum of Rs 7,60,000/-

3 He is further requested to expedite the submission of the Supplimentary Budget proposals for the charge.

Vote

[The estimated expenditure as on hand in the Budget sanctioned by Govt is Rupees 11,335
But according to the completion account the same is given as Rs. 55,600
or Rs 44265
Hence Hence the cost of incomplete workers were to not given the Budget.]

Sd/- [illegible]

See

To

The President Municipal Corporation, Mysore City.

Complied with the copy of list of incomplete works.

L 690

96 5-417
26.10.0

FROM A. Ramaraya Iyengar Esq
President City Muncipality
Mysore

To

The V. C. Varadaraya Esq:
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl and Rev. Dept No 3

Dated

Despatched } 25th October 1901

Received

General No. 300

No.

Enclosure No.

Subject

Requesting sanction to utilize the amount to be recovered by the sale of land with frontage wall in the Lakshmivilas road for improving the frontages of houses along the Sayaji Rao road

SECTION 2
FILE 78 Z-
SL 2

L 7
26.10.0

No 28/10
C of S 1
Docket put up 28/10
29/10

No 300 69 The City Dated 25 = 06

From

A. Ramanuja Iyengar
President City
Boards

To

M. V. Narjundayyah Esq
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore
General and Revenue Depart

Sir,

I have the honor to request t
you will be so good as to obtain th
sanction of Government to utilize th
amount to be recovered by the Sale of
land with frontage in the Lakshmi
-vilas Road for improving the fro
of houses along the Sayaje Rao
and for other Sanitary imp
in the City.

The above proposal wa
brought to the notice of the Dewan
-ing his recent visit to Mysore.

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedient Ser
Humbly

(33) 5502 / 30.X.01 190 (29869 8 (33)

Fr Panamya Iyengar Esqr
President City Multy
Mysore

To H. V. Narjundayyah Esqr
M A. M L
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl and Rev Depts,

Dated
Despatched } 27th October 1901
Received

General No. 306
No.

Enclosure No. /

Ans 8885 8/11/01

No 306 71 The City municipal office
dated 27th October, 1901

From

A. Ramanuja Iyengar Esqre
President City mu
Mysore

To

A. V. Narayanaiyyah Esquire
m.A. m.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 5°68/23 12.1901 dated 24th September 1901, requesting (E/24) to report how the expenditure of Rs 8494-13-11 was incurred and what explanation I have to offer for starting the work without previous sanction when the provisions in the current year's Budget could not be retained &c, I have the honor to state that from the statement enclosed in this office no 189 dated 5th September (Signed 7 no/1901) 1901, you will observe that Rs 7081-4-0 was paid on account of Compensation; but this amount was met from the Loan Fund and the Balance was paid from the municipal Fund in anticipation of sanction as the works were very urgent

I understand that every year it has been usual to start works

urgent new works as well as the incom-
-plete works of last year even before the
receipt of Sanction to the Budget with
the expectation that every item provided
for in the Budget would be passed, but
this year, all the items provided for the
"Original works", were disallowed, which
is not usual

In the Budget submitted, a
Sum of Rs 3000/- was provided under Com-
-pensation to meet the urgent cases. The
above Sum is very necessary to make pay-
-ments only in cases where licenses to
rebuild houses are refused.

A Sum of Rs 1000/- was provided
for formation of new roads. Owing to the
prevalence of plague, many houses are
being demolished and the cost of re-
-moving and levelling such houses, to be
-ing met out of the provision under the
above head, besides meting the cost of
formation of roads.

Provision made for Original,
Water Works" and "other Works Urgent",
are also very necessary. For want of
Sufficient Funds, very Small Sums
were provided in the Budget."

and therefore this retention is ab-
-solutly necessary.

However a statement is
herewith submitted, from which it
will be seen that the amount already
paid from 1st July to 30th September
1901, include only a very few items
of incomplete works of last year, the
rest being new works. The incom-
-plete works have to be completed
in addition to the new works as al-
-ready stated. In my letter no 217
dated 21st September 1901, I have ex-
-plained the necessity of opening the
nakshalready masjid road Therefore
all the items provided under Original
Works, are very necessary and they
may be kindly allowed to be retain-
-ed

As regards meting
the expenditure incurred in the past
2 years for the Vani Vilas Water
Works, provision will be made in
the Supplemental Budget for the
current year, when the Correct a-
mount of balance is ascertained
from the Comptroller There will

will most probably be a savings of Rs 17,277/: out of the last year's Budget. The above Sum though provided in the Budget, was not spent with a view to meet the excess expenditure of Vani Vilas Water Works when called upon to do so.

Thus the savings effected in the last year's Budget will be Sufficient to meet the extra expenditure of Vani Vilas Water Works and also the provisions made for "Original Works in this year's Budget. Under these circumstances, I request that you will be good enough to move the Government for the retention of all the items in the present Budget The Board also agree to this; a copy of their resolution is herewith Submitted

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
For Rs 100. acct

mmh

Extract from the Proceedings of the Mysore City municipal Board, dated 31st August 1901 held at 4.30 P.M.

× × ×

III Government Proceedings no 2825-39 L.S.G. 12 1901 dated 14th August 1901, sanctioning the budget estimate of the City Municipality, Mysore, for 1901-1902.

Resolved that considering the need there is for the budgetted expenditure for the improvement of the town as detailed in para 12 of the Govt Proceedings no 2825-39/L.S.G.-12-1901 dated 14th August 1901, the Board respectfully urges the Govt to be pleased to reconsider their orders and prays that the extra cost amounting to Rs 11,305- for the Vani Vilas Water Works, may be debited to Provincial Funds, and that all the costs incurred with regard to the Pumbens before they are handed over to the Municipality, may be generously borne by the Govt

× × ×

(sd) A. Vanjeeda Pai
For. Pres.

True copy,
For. President



77

To

The Presdt of the Mysore city Municl Commrs

R.L.661.

No 6745 of 28 Octr 1901.

L.R. 12-1901

His letter No 1668/22d Augt 1901 Soliciting orders ~~of Govt~~ to debit to Road or Jail funds the ~~Guarding~~ charges of Guarding town prisoners employed for the formation of roads in the new extensions and in the weavers lines in the Mysore city.

With reference to the above has the honor to request that the Presdt will be good enough to report how the expenditure connected with the extensions is being met and why the present charge should not be debited to the same head.

"By Order"

For Secretary

Dwg 9/10/01

SECTION etal

FILE 78 A 900-01

S 24

SEC. 12

FILE NO. —

25

Item — Amount

Road Repairs — 14,000/-

Drains do — 1000

Buildings do — 1000

Watersupply do — 1200/-

Other works do — 600

Road watering — 200

Rs 17,800.

R.L. 092

7260-61

L.F. 12-1901. 7/11/1901

Read —

Letter no 250 dated the 2/3 October 1901 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Mysore City, soliciting sanction to a sum of Rs 17,800/- as per margin + details, out of the sanctioned Budget allotments for the current year, being placed at his disposal to meet the cost of works within his power of sanction.

Order thereon

Sanctioned.

Secty

To

The President, Municipal Commission, Mysore City

" Comptroller.

347 L-19

6156 190
0914-11-101

FROM H. Ramunja Iyengar &
President city
Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayyah
Esqr
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore

Dated Genl and Rev. Dept. 8
Despatched } 10th November 1901
Received }

General No. 337
No.

Enclosure No.

To the Commissioner Mysore 469 14/11/1901.

Soliciting sanction of
Govt for Rs 673/- being
the amount expended
for opening a road be-
-hind Akkalwaly
Masjid Mandy Mohalla

L 2
14/11/01

Sir I sent the previous papers (L496
& L698) to you this morning Mad
11/11

Note put up - Mad
10 4

C No 337

From A Ramanuja Iyengar Esqre
President City Municipality

To

M. V. Narjundayyah Esquire M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Dept

Sir,

1915 With reference to my letter dated 21st September 1901 submitting for sanction a compensation statement amounting to Rs 673 + 34/- for acquiring houses and lands for opening out a road behind Nakshabundy mosjed in Bundy mohalla I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the same, as the Comptroller urges to obtain sanction in his objection Statement for June 1901 and to intimate the date of sanction for the adjustment of the amount already spent thereon in anticipation of sanction

673

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt
H Ramanuja Iyengar
For President





E1

L809

6278 1901

FROM 4/16-11-01

H. Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
President-Municipal Board
Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayyah Esq M.A. M.L.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue & Genl departments
Bangalore

Dated 13th November 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 339

No.

Enclosure No.

SECTION

FILE

PR

Requesting sanction to utilise Rs 20,000/- for the constructions of a slaughter house, public latrines and opening out-Congested parts out-of the opening balance on 1st July 1901.

L.F.
16/11

Submitted. Can the President be asked to submit a Supplemental Budget including this and other items absolutely necessary thereby 10/11 the whole question will be decided.

Rad 22/11. 21/11/1901.

339.

To the C
Mysore

From,

H. Ramanuja Iyengar Esqre
President-City Municipa
Mysore.

To. H. V. Nanjundayyah Esq. M.A.L
Secretary to the Governmen
Mysore.
Revenue and General Depts.
Ban

Sir,

With reference to the Governmen
Proceedings no 6126-27 dated the 10th
2.f 12.1901
October 1901 sanctioning a sum
Rs 765-4/- to carry out the incom
works and intimating that the bala
at the credit of Mysore city on the 1
July 1901 may be estimated at Rs 55
I have the honor to state, adver de
ing a sum of Rs 17,654/- for
works and also the extra cost-i
for the maintenance of Vani Vilas
Water Works of the two previous
there will still remain a balance
Rs 37,946/- at the credit of the

build a slaughter house as the one now in use is in a dilapidated condition and unsuitable for the purpose and also to provide public latrines especially where poor people live as they themselves cannot afford to build latrines of their own and engage scavengers for the daily removal of night-soil.

For the above purpose and to open out the very congested parts of Kashkol and Nundy Mohallas a sum of Rs. 20,000/- is required and if permission is given provision will be made in the supplemental budget for the above works.

Now the people are very anxious to have their neighbourhood improved as early as possible and if this opportunity be lost then there would be difficulty in getting the improvements effected at a reasonable cost. Expense is

request that you will
early orders.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient Servant

Thomas Jefferson

for President

294 (2835)

e President of the
Municipal Commissioner,
Mysore City.

Q.L. 11

No 7468/
LL 12-1901. 14/11/901

From the Same
4189/512 of 1901.

His no. 300 dated the
25th October 1901 ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~
proposing to utilize the
amount to be realized by
the sale of the land with frontage
in the Lakshmi Vilas road
for improving the frontages
of houses ~~in~~ along the Sayaji
Rao road and for effecting
certain other sanitary improve-
ments in the City.

With reference to the above
has the honour to request
the President will be good enough
to state whether the Board
was consulted on the present
proposal, and, if the
fund from which the frontage
~~along~~ in the Lakshmi Vilas road
was constructed, 'By order'
and the total cost thereof
should be supplied.

if so to forward a copy
of their resolution

Rcd 13.11.01

SEC'T
FILE N
S

Q.L.778

7967
L.T. 12-1901 23/11/1901

The President of
Municipal Comm.
Drysdale City.

His letter no 339 of
the 13th ~~Instant~~ Novemb 1901 re
to the construction of a
slaughter house and public
latrines ~~and~~ in the City.

With reference to the
above has the honour to
request that the President
will be good enough to
include these and other
items of expenditure, which
he considers ~~are~~ absolutely
necessary, in the Supple
mental Budget and to
submit the same to Govt
when the whole question will
be decided. "By order"

30 GL 68,30

1901 –

FROM

A. Ramaraya Iyengar Esq.
President-Municipal
Board

SECTION—

FILE No. 1854

Sir

To

A. V. Krishnamurthy Esq. M.A. M.L.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev. General depts.

Dated 25.

Despatched

Received November 1901.

General No. 8852

No.

Enclosure No.

In the Same No 8852 dated 10/11/1901

Requesting sanction
for the retention of all the
items provided in the
Budget submitted with
the Presidents' No. dated
21.7.01

Submitted The former
prepareme is pending before
this III Commissioner

Dr J 21/7/01

1901 Mysore dated 2.

From

6830
29-XI-01

H Ramanuja Iyengar Esq.
President-municipal
Mysore.

To.

R V. Narasimhayyah Esq M A M L
Secretary to the Government of
Revenue and General

Sir,

With reference to this office no 306
the 27th October 1901, requesting to obtain
sanction of the Government for the
of all the items provided in the Bud
submitted by this office, I have the
to request that you will be good
obtain the early orders of the Govern
for the same as the w ient works
have to be completed.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Se

H Ramanujayya
for President

From R. Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
President municipal Board
Mysore

To R. V. Ramachandrayah Esq. M.A.M.L
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Revenue & Genl Depts.

Dated 6th December 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 420

No.

Enclosure No. one

Subject Submitting for sanction the travelling allowance bill of Venkatasubbiah Inspector for Kolar

From,

T. Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
President-Municipal Board
Mysore

To

T V Venkatarayalu Esq M A M L.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore
Revenue and General departments

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith a travelling allowance bill of Annadorey Iyer Water Inspector of the Mysore city Municipality for April 1901, for Rs 10-12-: and to request that you will be kind enough to obtain the sanction of Government to the same.

The cost thereof can be met from the allotment made under the head Water maintenance other charges in the current years budget of the city.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

T. Ramanuja Iyengar

Vice President

(22)

Read again.

Letter no. 189 dated the 5th September 1901 from the President of the City Municipal Commission, Mysore, soliciting sanction to the retention in the current years' City Municipal Budget of the ~~item~~ items under Public Works disallowed by Govt. ~~to make the amount available~~ for the purpose of providing for the maintenance charges of the water works.

Read.

Letter no. 306 dated the 27th October 1901 from the same officer, submitting the information called for in this office Docket no. 5068 of 1901 Ft 12-07 the 21st September 1901

order thereon

Government consider it undesirable to revise

and accordingly direct that
providing for the items disallowed by you
a Supplemental Budget ~~can~~ A
~~be prepared therein be required~~
~~modifications~~ be not submitted for the consideration of ~~you~~.
~~to them at an early date~~.

W J
9/12/1907
Jm Secty
W J 6/12/07

To

The President, Tiby
Ind Commission, Keystone.

73

33

Read.

Correspondence ending with letter no. 337 dated the 16th November 1901 from the President of the City Municipal Commission, Mysore in regard to the amount of compensation viz Rs 673/ ~~for horses and land~~ payable for horses and land acquired for opening out a road from Benky Nawab Street to the lane behind Nakshabandy masjid in Nandy mohalla of the Mysore City.

Order thereon

The payment of the compensation referred to, aggregating to Rs 673/- is sanctioned, subject to the submission of a Supplemental Budget for the City Municipality providing for the charge.

SECTION

P.L.883

No 9046/46
L.F.12-1901 9/12/1901

Name to Comptroller

Presd: Mysore Commrs
Mysore City -
Comptr: with ment

(34)

Read -

Letter no 420 dated the 6th Decr 1901 from the P of the City Municipal Commr, Mysore, submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 10-12-0, being the travelling allowance payable to Annadoray Ayer, City Water Inspector, for April 1901. P.L. 904

Order thereon No 10088-89/26/12/01. 12-1901. to the City Engr.

The bill is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit, the charge being met from the provision of Rs 7707- made under "XI Divn (6, Water Maintenance establishment (vi) other charges" in the current year's City Municipal Budget.

29/12/1901 for Secy.

To
The Pres., Mysore City Mr
" Comptroller with bill

3

12489

19

63

From H. Ram a Iyengar. Esq
President, City Municp
Rngoon

To H. V. Ranganadayyah. Esq MA
Secretary to the Govt of Rngoon
Genl & Rev Dpartm

Dated 27th March 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 736
No.

Enclosure No. 141 file.

Soliciting sanction to grant an house allow-ance of Rs 7/- as approved the Board, to the Chaung Sub-Overseer-

SECTT. FILE V. 8/12

ording the Board's re-
mendation the financial condition of
City Municipality is as-
lows :-

Opening balance	11335
Receipts	143850
Total	1,55,185
Expenditure	145,771
losing Balance	9414

ich is less than the prescribed
inimum by Rs 10586.

Rej 15/4/02

L.7

29-3-02

As 1/4/02

As 8 cl

Rej 11/4/02

Submitted for orders with a copy of the Budget for the current year as a draft

No 736

From

A Ramanuja Iyengar Esquire
President City Municipality
Mysore

To

The V Vanjundayyah Esqr M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward correspondence ending with Hemmigepatana taluq Amildar's report No 235 dated 16th December 1901 recommending that some allowance, may be given to the Sub Overseer in charge of the Devaraj Channel for seeing that a sufficient supply of water is made available to the pumps fixed in the said Channel and from which water is supplied to the city, and a copy of the Board's resolution on the Subject; and to state that my predecessor, the former Superintendent was getting an allowance of Rs 20/= for doing this work which is now being done by the Sub Overseer

As the Sub-Overseer does this work in addition to his legitimate duty and as he has to move frequently along the Channel to stop leakages and to see that sufficient supply of water is made available for pumping purposes, I would recommend that a horse allowance of Rs 7/ per month as approved by the Board may kindly be sanctioned to the Sub Overseer.

Provision has already been made in the sanctioned Budget for 1901-1902 to meet the above charges.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

RM For President

MYSORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

MUNICIPAL OFFICE.

Date. 22nd FEB 1902

Subject. Seringapatam Taluq Amildars No. 2350/16.12.1901 recommending that allowance may be given to the Sub-Overseer in Charge of the Davaraj Sagar Channel

File No. XII *of* 1902 Seeing that sufficient supply of water is made over to the pumps fixed in the Jail Channel and from which is supplied to the City.

Names.				Hour.	Date.	Initials.
Ex :— Officio Commissioners.						
The Executive Engineer Mysore Division.						
The Civil Surgeon.						
The Principal Maharajah's College.						
The Amildar Mysore Taluk.				11 am	18/1/1902	
Elected and Nominated Commissioners.						
Lushkar Mohala.	1	(E)	Mr. Anantharajah			
	2	(E)	„ Abubukar Sait			
Nuzarbad Mohala.	1	(E)	„ Tiruvengada Moodr.			
	2	(N)	„ Shaik Ibrahim Sahib			
Mundi Mohala.	1	(E)	„ Mudappah			
	2	(N)	„ Hussanah Baig.			
Fort Mohala.	1	(E)	„ Narsimhaswamy			
	2	(E)	„ Venkataramaiah			
Davaraja Mohala	1	(E)	„ Venkobba Rao			
	2	(E)	„ A. Subbaunah.			
Krishnaraja Mohala	1	(E)	„ K. Sreecuntiah.			
	2	(N)	„ Ambale Anna Pundit			
Chamaraja Mohala.	1	(E)	„ M. Venkatkrishniah			
	2	(N)	„ Rev. Gulliford			

Vice President
City Municipality
Mysore

12th December
Palakally 04

83.743.

To

Krishna Rao Esqr
Assistant of Elupoote Talug
Jaffna

Sir

I beg to inform you that the estimate & usual duty of a channel Sub overseer is to supply water in channels for irrigation of land for a period of 7 months in a year and to carry on the annual repairs of channels. In addition to the above I have to discharge other duties, on account of Elupoote Town Water Supply. Pumps, fixed & removable annual. In addition to supply of water in the channels for the use of pumps and irrigation purposes with which for plants. I have and have travelling on the channel bank about between 8 or 10 miles.

The two roads viz. the Navaladi & have ... Madduck Kaffe and ... between ... and the Check Bridge ... distance ...

Water under through the ... holes shall ... to the ... the Check Bridge ... from the bed of the ...